



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>H04L 12/56, H04Q 11/04</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 99/43131</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 26 August 1999 (26.08.99)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/GB99/00405 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 9 February 1999 (09.02.99) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 9803301.2                      18 February 1998 (18.02.98)                      GB <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> POWER X LIMITED [GB/GB]; Stafford Court, 145 Washway Road, Sale, Manchester M33 7PE (GB). <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> HOWARTH, Paul, Graham [GB/GB]; 14 Badby Close, Ancoats, Manchester M4 7EY (GB). JOHNSON, Ian, David [GB/GB]; 11 Seel Street, Moseley, Manchester OL5 0EW (GB). <b>(74) Agents:</b> McNEIGHT, David, Leslie et al.; McNeight & Lawrence, Regent House, Heaton Lane, Stockport, Cheshire SK4 1BS (GB).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> SCHEDULING MEANS FOR DATA SWITCHING APPARATUS		
<b>(57) Abstract</b> <p>Scheduling means is provided for data switching apparatus having a plurality of input ports and a plurality of output ports between which data having one of a predetermined number of priority levels is to be passed. The scheduling means includes a first pipeline stage (10) to which are applied requests for said interconnections from the input ports and operable to satisfy at least some of the requested interconnections. A priority mixer (13) is provided to which are applied those requests for interconnections which were not satisfied by the first pipeline stage together with requests of a different priority level. The priority mixer (13) operates to select which of those requests should be further considered and applied to a second pipeline stage (11). This is operable to satisfy such of those requests as are possible. Further pipeline stages (12) are provided, to which are applied those requests not satisfied by any preceding pipeline stages.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

SCHEDULING MEANS FOR DATA SWITCHING APPARATUS

This invention relates to scheduling means for data switching apparatus for use in computer-controlled digital data switching systems.

Many types of data transmission apparatus are known, all having their own particular features and systems. In all cases the intention is to allow data switching and transmission to be achieved as rapidly as the apparatus will allow. It is common for data to be sent in "packets" consisting of a predetermined number of bits of data plus control information indicating certain parameters of the data or its mode of transmission.

In data switching apparatus having an number of users there may be a requirement at any one time to set up a number of different interconnections between input ports and output ports. In any form of switch there is a limit to the number of simultaneous interconnections that may be formed. The switch is operating at its greatest efficiency when the greatest possible number of interconnections is formed and switching apparatus frequently includes what may be termed "scheduling means" in order to achieve this maximum number of interconnections.

A good scheduling scheme needs to balance the potentially conflicting objectives of making sure that all output ports are connected where there are requests for a connection to that port (efficiency), that high priority traffic is serviced quickly (prioritisation) and that low priority traffic is not ignored (fairness). The present invention addresses all of these issues and may, for example, be used with the data switching apparatus described and claimed in our co-pending British patent application No. 9717412.2

Various types of scheduling means are known. For example, United States Patent No. 5,500,858 describes one form of scheduling means in which requests for interconnections are considered and satisfied using what are called "rotating priority iterative matching desynchronising scheduler units". The "priority" in this case refers to priority given to input and output ports at any given time so as to ensure that each port has a fair chance of having a connection requests satisfied. The U.S. Patent goes on to describe how the scheme could be extended to handle requests at multiple priority levels but the scheme described would lack fairness, that is low priority requests would be ignored

under heavy load conditions where only higher priority requests would be satisfied.

It is an object of the present invention to provide data switching apparatus which includes scheduling means operable to satisfy a greater number of requests for interconnections than has previously been possible under such circumstances.

According to the present invention there is provided scheduling means for data switching apparatus having a plurality of input ports and a plurality of output ports between which data having one of a predetermined number of priority levels is to be passed, which scheduling means includes a first pipeline stage operable to satisfy at least some of the requests for interconnections which are applied to the scheduling means, a priority mixer to which are applied those requests for interconnections which were not satisfied by the first pipeline stage together with requests of different priority levels and operable to select which of those requests should be further considered, and at least one further pipeline stage to which are applied said further requests and operable to satisfy such of those requests as are possible and were not satisfied by any preceding pipeline stage.

The present invention overcomes the problems associated with the known prior art by using existing types of scheduling units, (for example those described in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,500,858 and 5,267,235, though any scheduling means which operates as described herein may be used) but connecting them in a novel arrangement. The scheduling means to be described may, for example, be used with the data switching apparatus described and claimed in our co-pending British patent application No. 9717412.2.

The invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawing, which shows a schematic block diagram of one embodiment of the invention.

The drawing shows three pipeline stages 10 to 12, with a priority mixer 13 connected between pipeline stages 10 and 11. Input connections and output connections are provided to the various pipeline stages and the priority mixer as shown and the operation of the arrangement will be described below.

Each of the pipeline stages 10, 11 and 12 operates to receive input connection Request Vectors  $RVi$  at Priority level  $Pi$  and a

Connection Vector  $CV_i$ . In response to these inputs the pipeline stage generates output signals Queue Return  $QRet$ , Request Vector out  $RVo$ , Priority out  $Po$  and Connection Vector out  $CVo$ . The Request Vectors are bit fields where each bit corresponds to a possible connection between one of the input ports and one of the output ports of the data switching apparatus. That is, if there are  $n$  input ports and  $m$  output ports, the Request Vectors will be  $n \times m$  bits wide, where a bit that is set indicates that a connection is being requested from the corresponding input port to the corresponding output port, whilst a bit that is clear indicates that such a connection is not being requested at this time. The Priority fields  $Pi$  and  $Po$  indicate the priority of the connection being requested at input ( $RVi$ ) and output ( $RVo$ ) respectively. The connection requests from each input port are all of the same priority, though the connections requested from different input ports may be of different priorities. The Connection Vector signal  $CVo$  defines connections which are to be made by a switching matrix (not shown). They indicate which input port, if any, is to be connected to each output port of the data switching apparatus. The Queue Return signals  $Qret$  represent connection requests that cannot be satisfied. These requests are returned to the input queues of the data switching apparatus ready to be requested again. The operation performed by each pipeline stage is to consider the connection requests at  $RVi$  and satisfy as many of them as possible, adding details of each satisfied connection to any already present at  $CV_i$  and presenting the combined set of connections at  $CVo$ . Since each input port and each output port may only be involved in one connection at any given time, any connection requests which involve an input port or output port which is already part of a satisfied connection request may no longer be satisfied within the present set of connections and so such requests are returned to the input queues (signal  $Qret$ ), to be considered as part of a subsequent set of connections. The remaining connection requests (those for which the corresponding input and output ports are still available for connection) are presented at the  $RVo$  output of the pipeline stage in order for them to be considered by a subsequent pipeline stage. Any such requests at the output of the last pipeline stage 13 (where there is no subsequent pipeline stage to consider them) are returned to the input queues of the data switching apparatus, as with the  $Qret$  output.

Consider now the overall operation of the scheduling means described above. At the input to the first pipeline stage, 10 requests for connections  $RV_i$  at a single priority level  $P_i$  are presented. The first pipeline stage 10 then attempts to satisfy as many of these requests as possible. Traffic of each priority level is presented to the first pipeline stage 10 at a frequency proportional to the required bandwidth allocation for that priority level. For example, high priority level requests could be presented 50% of the time if a 50% bandwidth allocation for high priority traffic was required. The proportions assigned to each priority level would depend on the application and would be assigned by the system administrator and be independent of the operation of the pipeline stage. A lookup table may be used to define the priorities for each priority level. If there is only a small number of requests at the priority  $P_i$  then the first pipeline stage 10 will not make many connections and most of the input and output ports will not be utilised within the set of connections created by this stage, nor will there be many connection requests outstanding at that priority level which may be satisfied by the remaining pipeline stages 11 and 12. For this reason, the priority mixer 13 is introduced between the first and second pipeline stages 10 and 11. Applied to priority mixer 13 are connection requests  $RV_{2i}$  of priorities other than  $P_i$ , the priorities of the requests being denoted by  $P_{2i}$ . The priority mixer 13 decides, for each input port, whether to pass on to the second pipeline stage 11 the requests  $RV_i$  remaining at priority level  $P_i$  from the first pipeline stage 10 or the new requests  $RV_{2i}$ . The decision is made on the basis of choosing whichever set of requests has the highest number of requests that could still be satisfied within the current Connection Vector  $CV_i$ , taking into account which input and output ports are already used by satisfied connection requests. This leads to higher connectivity within the data switching apparatus than if only requests of a single priority were considered, that is it is more efficient. It also allows good performance for low priority traffic in the absence of any higher priority traffic, since the low priority requests may be presented at the second pipeline stage 11 via the priority mixer 13, regardless of how infrequently low priority requests are selected to be presented to the first pipeline stage 10. The Connection Vector output  $CVo$  of the

last pipeline stage 13 defines all the connections that are to be made by the data switching apparatus in the next cycle of operation.

5 Since mixing requests of different priorities at the second and subsequent stages of the scheduling means leads to greater efficiency, it might seem like a good idea to do the same at the first pipeline stage 10. However, the scheduling units that make up each pipeline stage

do not themselves take any account of the priority of each request, that is they treat all requests equally. Hence if requests of different priorities were presented to the first pipeline stage there would be no concept of priority at all within the scheduling means, since the low  
5 priority requests would compete equally with the high priority requests for connections. Thus it will be seen that the first pipeline stage provides prioritisation and fairness as defined above, whilst the subsequent pipeline stages provide efficiency.

Further pipeline stages may be added if it is felt that three  
10 stages are not able to provide sufficiently high efficiency of switch utilisation. There is a trade-off between switch utilisation (how many connection requests may be satisfied at any time) and latency (each pipeline stage takes time to operate), so that the number of pipeline stages required will depend upon what balance of these factors is  
15 required for a particular embodiment. In general, more pipeline stages are needed to create maximal sets of connections as the number of ports in the data switching apparatus increases. In addition, priority mixer elements may be placed between others of the pipeline units to further increase efficiency if desired.

20 Instances may occur when, for example, certain connections between input ports and output ports are to be retained for a period of time (static or permanent connections). Alternatively, it may be necessary at certain times to block specified input ports or output ports (during a period of system maintenance, for example). Similarly, it may be  
25 necessary at certain times to set up connections from one input port to more than one output port at the same time (multicast). All of these facilities may be incorporated into data switching apparatus which uses the scheduling means described above. This is done by connecting appropriate logic to the inputs of the first pipeline stage 10 and/or  
30 the priority mixer 13. For instance, to create a permanent connection between an input port and an output port, the CVi input of the first pipeline stage 10 could be preset to indicate the required connection(s) rather than having all of its bits clear (indicating no pre-existing connections). Input and output ports may be blocked by masking off the  
35 appropriate bits of the RVi input of the first pipeline stage 10 and the RV2i input of the priority mixer 13. Multicast connections may be made in the same way as permanent connections, except that more than one




output port is set up to be connected to the desired input port. In all of these cases, the scheduling means works around the existing connections or blocked ports, making whatever connections it can between the remaining unconnected and non-blocked input and output ports.

CLAIMS

1. Scheduling means for data switching apparatus having a plurality of input ports and a plurality of output ports between which data having one of a predetermined number of priority levels is to be passed, which scheduling means includes a first pipeline stage operable to satisfy at least some of the requests for interconnections which are applied to the scheduling means, a priority mixer to which are applied those requests for interconnections which were not satisfied by the first pipeline stage together with requests of different priority levels and operable to select which of those requests should be further considered and at least one further pipeline stage to which are applied said further requests and operable to satisfy such of those requests as are possible and were not satisfied by any preceding pipeline stage.
2. Scheduling means as claimed in Claim 1 in which requests for interconnections applied to the first pipeline stage are all of the same priority level.
3. Scheduling means as claimed in either of Claims 1 or 2 in which priority mixers are inserted between any pairs of the pipeline stages.
4. Scheduling means as claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 3 in which different types of predetermined connections may be set up between some of the ports at the input to the scheduling means such that the scheduling means is free to set up connections only between the ports not so connected.
5. Scheduling means as claimed in any one of the preceding claims in which requests for connections to or from any of the input or output ports may be inhibited, thereby preventing any connections being made to or from those ports.
6. Scheduling means for data switching apparatus having a plurality of input ports and a plurality of output ports between which data having one of a predetermined number of priority levels is to be passed, substantially as herein described with reference to the accompanying drawing.

1 / 1



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/00405

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 H04L12/56 H04Q11/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 H04L H04Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 126 999 A (MUNTER ERNST A ET AL) 30 June 1992	1-3,6
A	see column 4, line 66 - column 5, line 41 see column 6, line 19 - column 7, line 54 ---	4,5
A	US 5 500 858 A (MCKEOWN NICHOLAS W) 19 March 1996 cited in the application see column 4, line 17 - column 5, line 28 -----	1-6

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

2 July 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

12/07/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Meurisse, W

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/00405

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5126999	A	30-06-1992	CA	1320257 A	13-07-1993
US 5500858	A	19-03-1996	NONE		